arXiv:1601.06017v1 [math.GT] 22 Jan 2016

THE $SU(2)$ CASSON-LIN INVARIANT OF THE HOPF LINK

HANS U. BODEN AND CHRISTOPHER M. HERALD

Abstract. We compute the $SU(2)$ Casson-Lin invariant for the Hopf link and determine the sign in the formula of Harper and Saveliev relating this invariant to the linking number.

The Casson–Lin knot invariant was defined by Lin [4], and then extended to an invariant $h_2(L)$ of 2-component links by Harper and Saveliev [2], who showed that $h_2(L) = \pm lk(\ell_1, \ell_2)$, the linking number of $L = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2$, up to an overall sign. The purpose of this note is to determine the sign in that formula, establishing the following.

Theorem 1. *If $L = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2$ is an oriented 2-component link in S^3, then its Casson-Lin invariant $h_2(L) = -lk(\ell_1, \ell_2)$.*

Recall from [2] that the Casson-Lin invariant $h_2(L)$ is a signed count of certain projective $SU(2)$ representations of the link group. This paper is devoted to proving Theorem 1. The proof of Proposition 5.7 in [2] shows that the sign in the above formula is independent of L, and thus Theorem 1 will follow from a single computation.

To that end, we will determine the Casson-Lin invariant for the right-handed Hopf link. Since there is just one irreducible projective $SU(2)$ representation of the link group, up to conjugation, it suffices to determine the sign associated to this one point.

We identify

$$SU(2) = \{x + yi + zj + wk \mid |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\}$$

with the group of unit quaternions and consider the conjugacy class

$$C_i = \{yi + zj + wk \mid |y|^2 + |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1\} \subset SU(2)$$

of purely imaginary unit quaternions. Notice that C_i is diffeomorphic to S^2 and coincides with the set of $SU(2)$ matrices of trace zero.

Let L be an oriented link in S^3, represented as the closure of an n-strand braid $\sigma \in B_n$. We follow Conventions 1.13 on p.17 of [3] for writing geometric braids σ as words in the standard generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. In particular, braids are oriented from top to bottom and σ_i denotes a right-handed crossing in which the $(i+1)$-st

Date: January 25, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M25, Secondary: 20C15.

Key words and phrases. Braids, links, representation spaces, Casson-Lin invariant.

The first author was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

strand crosses over the i-th strand. The braid group B_n gives a faithful right action on the free group F_n on n generators, and here we follow the conventions in [1] for associating an automorphism of F_n to a given braid $\sigma \in B_n$, which we write as $x_i \mapsto x_i^\sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that each braid $\sigma \in B_n$ fixes the product $x_1 \cdots x_n$.

A standard application of the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem shows that the link complement $S^3 \smallsetminus L$ has fundamental group

$$\pi_1(S^3 \smallsetminus L) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_i^\sigma = x_i,\, i = 1, \dots, n \rangle.$$

We can therefore identify representations in $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(S^3 \smallsetminus L), SU(2))$ with fixed points in $\mathrm{Hom}(F_n, SU(2))$ under the induced action of the braid σ. We further identify $\mathrm{Hom}(F_n, SU(2))$ with $SU(2)^n$ by associating ϱ with the n-tuple $(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\varrho(x_1), \dots, \varrho_1(x_n))$. Note that $\sigma \colon SU(2)^n \to SU(2)^n$ is equivariant with respect to conjugation, so that fixed points come in whole orbits.

If $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is an n-tuple with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n = 1$, then projective $SU(2)$ representations can be identified with fixed points in $\mathrm{Hom}(F_n, SU(2))$ under the induced action of $\varepsilon\sigma$, which also preserves the product $X_1 \cdots X_n$ and is conjugation equivariant. The Casson-Lin invariant $h_2(L)$ is then defined as a signed count of orbits of fixed points of $\varepsilon\sigma$ for a suitably chosen n-tuple $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. The choice is made so that the resulting projective representations α all have $w_2(\alpha) \neq 0$ and as such do not lift to $SU(2)$ representations, and it has the consequence that for all fixed points α of $\varepsilon\sigma$, each $\alpha(x_i)$ is a traceless $SU(2)$ element.

We therefore restrict our attention to the subset of traceless representations, which are elements $\varrho \in \mathrm{Hom}(F_n, SU(2))$ with $\varrho(x_j) \in C_i$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Define $f \colon C_i^n \times C_i^n \to SU(2)$ by setting

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = (X_1 \cdots X_n)(Y_1 \cdots Y_n)^{-1}.$$

We obtain an orientation on $f^{-1}(1)$ by applying the base-fiber rule, using the product orientation on $C_i^n \times C_i^n$ and the standard orientation on the codomain of f. The quotient $f^{-1}(1)/\mathrm{conj}$ is then oriented by another application of the base-fiber rule, using the standard orientation on $SU(2)$. This step uses the fact that, if $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is chosen so that the associated $SO(3)$ bundle has second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2 \neq 0$ nontrivial, then every fixed point of $\varepsilon\sigma$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(F_n, SU(2))$ is necessarily irreducible.

We view conjugacy classes of fixed points of $\varepsilon\sigma$ as points in the intersection $\widehat{\Delta} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$, where $\widehat{\Delta} = \Delta/\mathrm{conj}$ is the quotient of the diagonal $\Delta \subset C_i^n \times C_i^n$, and where $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma}/\mathrm{conj}$ is the quotient of the graph $\Gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ of $\varepsilon\sigma \colon C_i^n \to C_i^n$.

If the link L is the closure of a 2-strand braid, as it is for the Hopf link, then $\varepsilon = (-1, -1)$ is the only choice whose associated $SO(3)$ bundle has $w_2 \neq 0$. Furthermore, in this case the intersection $\widehat{\Delta} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ takes place in the pillowcase $f^{-1}(1)/\mathrm{conj}$, which is defined as the quotient

$$P = \{(a, b, c, d) \in C_i^4 \mid ab = cd\}/\mathrm{conj}\,. \tag{1}$$

It is well known that P is homeomorphic to S^2. To see this, first conjugate so that $a = i$, then conjugate by elements of the form $e^{i\theta}$ to arrange that b lies in the (i, j)-circle. A straightforward calculation using the equation $ab = cd$ shows that d must also lie on the (i, j)-circle. Clearly c is determined by a, b, d. We thus obtain an embedded 2-torus of elements of C_i^4 satisfying $ab = cd$, parameterized by

$$g(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (i, e^{k\theta_1}i, e^{k(\theta_2-\theta_1)}i, e^{k\theta_2}i)$$

for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$, which maps onto P. It is easy to verify that this is a two-to-one submersion, except when $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \{0, \pi\}$. This realizes P as a quotient of the torus by the hyperelliptic involution. In particular, this involution is orientation preserving, and away from the four singular points of P, we can lift all orientation questions up to the torus.

Let L be the right-handed Hopf link, which we view as the closure of the braid $\sigma = \sigma_1^2 \in B_2$, and suppose $\varepsilon = (-1, -1)$. The intersection point $\widehat{\Delta} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ in question is given by the conjugacy class of $g(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, namely the point $[(i, j, i, j)] \in P$. Thus, in order to pin down the sign of the Casson-Lin invariant $h_2(L)$, we must determine the orientations of $\widehat{\Delta}$, $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$, and P at this point.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} g(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= (0, e^{k\theta_1}j, -e^{k(\theta_2-\theta_1)}j, 0) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} g(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= (0, 0, e^{k(\theta_2-\theta_1)}j, e^{k\theta_2}j).
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \pi/2$ gives two tangent vectors $u_1 := (0, -i, -j, 0)$ and $u_2 := (0, 0, j, -i)$ to C_i^4 which span a complementary subspace in $\ker df$ to the orbit tangent space. Therefore, an ordering of these vectors determines an orientation on $P = f^{-1}(1)/\text{conj}$.

The orbit tangent space is spanned by the three tangent vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 := \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} e^{it}(i, j, i, j) &= (0, k, 0, k), \\
v_2 := \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} e^{jt}(i, j, i, j) &= (-k, 0, -k, 0), \\
v_3 := \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} e^{kt}(i, j, i, j) &= (j, -i, j, -i).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the five vectors $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ form a basis for $\ker\left(df|_{(i,j,i,j)}\right) = T_{(i,j,i,j)}f^{-1}(1)$. We choose vectors $w_1 = (k, 0, 0, 0), w_2 = (0, k, 0, 0), w_3 = (j, 0, 0, 0)$ to extend this to a basis $\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ for $T_{(i,j,i,j)}C_i^4$.

The orientation conventions in the definition of the $h_{N,a}(L)$ [1] involve pulling back the orientation from $su(2) = T_1SU(2)$ by df to obtain a co-orientation for

$\ker\left(df|_{(i,j,i,j)}\right)$. With that in mind, we compute the action of df on $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$, namely, $df(w_1) = -j$, $df(w_2) = i$ and $df(w_3) = k$.

Notice that the ordered triple $\{df(w_1), df(w_2), df(w_3)\} = \{-j, i, k\}$ gives the same orientation as the standard basis for $su(2)$. Thus, the base-fiber rule gives the co-orientation $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ on $\ker df$, so we choose the orientation $\mathcal{O}_{\ker df}$ on $\ker df$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\{w_1,w_2,w_3\}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\ker df}$ agrees with the product orientation on $C_i^2 \times C_i^2$.

The orientation on the pillowcase P is then obtained by applying the base-fiber rule a second time to the quotient (1), using $\mathcal{O}_{\ker df}$ to orient $f^{-1}(1)$ and giving the orbit tangent space the orientation induced from that on $SU(2)$ as well. We claim that the basis $\{u_1, u_2\}$ for the tangent space to the pillowcase has the opposite orientation. To see this, we note that $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is the fiber orientation for $SO(3) \to f^{-1}(1) \to P$ and compare

$$S = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$$

to the product orientation on $C_i^2 \times C_i^2$. Using the basis

$$\{(j, 0), (k, 0), (0, k), (0, i)\}$$

for $T_{(i,j)}(C_i^2)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \;=\; &\{(j,0,0,0), (k,0,0,0), (0,k,0,0), (0,i,0,0),\\ &(0,0,j,0), (0,0,k,0), (0,0,0,k), (0,0,0,i)\}\end{aligned}$$

is an oriented basis for $T_{(i,j,i,j)}C_i^4 = T_{(i,j)}C_i^2 \times T_{(i,j)}C_i^2$ with the product orientation.

Let M be the matrix expressing the vectors in S in terms of the basis β. Since

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

one easily computes that $\det M = -1$, confirming our claim that $\{u_2, u_1\}$ is a positively oriented basis for the pillowcase tangent space.

Recall that L is the right-handed Hopf link, which we represent as the closure of the braid $\sigma = \sigma_1^2 \in B_2$. For $\varepsilon = (-1, -1)$, as in Figure 1, one can verify that

$$\varepsilon\sigma(X, Y) = (-Y^{-1}XY, -Y^{-1}X^{-1}YXY).$$

Consider the curve $\alpha(\theta) = (i, e^{k\theta}i)$, passing through the point $(i, j) \in C_i^2$ when $\theta = \pi/2$, which is transverse to the orbit $[(i, j)]$. Then $(\alpha(\theta), \alpha(\theta))$ and $(\alpha(\theta), \varepsilon\sigma \circ \alpha(\theta))$ are curves in Δ and $\Gamma_{\varepsilon\sigma}$, respectively, and both are necessarily transverse to the orbit in C_i^4/conj. Therefore, we can compare the orientations induced by the



FIGURE 1. The action of $\sigma = \sigma_1^2$ on $F_2 = \langle x, y\rangle$

parameteriziations $[(\alpha(\theta), \alpha(\theta))]$ and $[(\alpha(\theta), \varepsilon\sigma \circ \alpha(\theta))]$ of $\widehat{\Delta}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ to the pillowcase orientation determined above, namely $\{u_2, u_1\}$. The velocity vectors for the paths $(\alpha(\theta), \alpha(\theta)) = (i, e^{k\theta}i, i, e^{k\theta}i)$ and $(\alpha(\theta), \varepsilon\sigma\circ\alpha(\theta)) = (i, e^{k\theta}i, -e^{2k\theta}i, -e^{3k\theta}i)$ at $\theta = \pi/2$ are given by $(0, -i, 0, -i) = u_1 + u_2$ and $(0, -i, 2j, -3i) = u_1 + 3u_2$, respectively.

The Casson-Lin invariant is defined as the intersection number $h_2(L) = \langle\widehat{\Delta},\ \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}\rangle$, and in our case the sign of the unique intersection point in $\widehat{\Delta} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{\varepsilon\sigma}$ is determined by comparing the orientation of $\{u_1 + u_2, u_1 + 3u_2\}$ with $\{u_2, u_1\}$. Since the change of basis matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has negative determinant, it follows that $h_2(L) = -1$, and this completes the proof of the theorem. □

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Eric Harper and Nikolai Saveliev for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] H. U. Boden and E. Harper, *The $SU(n)$ Casson-Lin invariants of links*, 2015 preprint math.GT/1506.03730.
[2] E. Harper and N. Saveliev, *A Casson-Lin type invariant for links*, Pacific J. Math. **248** (2010), 139–154.
[3] C. Kassel and V. Turaev, Braid Groups. Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics **247**, 2008.
[4] X.-S. Lin, *A knot invariant via representations spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), 337–357.

MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO
E-mail address: boden@mcmaster.ca

MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA RENO, RENO NEVADA
E-mail address: herald@unr.edu